#### **Control of Aggregated Power Level of Safety Messages in VANET**

C265 Spring '09 Class Project

Ching-Ling Huang May, 6th, 2009

# Outline

- VANET and Safety Enhancement
- Why Aggregated Power Level Should be Studied?
- PDE Model of Aggregated Power Level with Uniform Tx Rate and Power
- Summary

#### Vehicular Ad-Hoc Network

- VANET: Wireless transceivers put on cars for real-time information exchange
  - No need for Access Point. Cars can talk to cars in ad hoc mode
  - First priority (of U.S. government, NHTSA) is to enhance highway safety
- VSCC report (vehicle safety communication consortium, 2005) suggests two kinds of safety messages
  - Status update messages
  - Event-driven (emergency) messages

#### **Conceptually, VANET looks like this...**

#### (from http://www.intellidriveusa.org)



#### Status Update Messages

- Subject vehicle broadcasts its own state information, e.g. position, speed, heading, to neighboring vehicles
  - Based on received information, other vehicles estimate the position, speed, heading of that subject vehicle
- Every car tries to track other cars and get a "digital map" of all neighboring vehicles' movement
  - Various safety applications rely on this kind of "proximity awareness" (e.g. 150m radius)
- VSCC report suggests 100ms beacon interval





#### **Event-Driven Messages**

- When a car senses a hazardous situation, it initiates a warning messages to other cars
  - E.g. a crashed car can warn other cars of this condition and potential change of traffic
  - Wireless messages travel faster than shock wave
- Not as frequent as status update messages.
  - But it is time-critical! It needs higher successful reception probability



## Why Tx Power/Rate of Status Update Messages Should Be Studied?

- The delivery of time-critical event-driven messages needs to be protected from interference of frequent status update messages
  - It uses maximum Tx power... but is that enough?
- The aggregated power level from all status update messages becomes interference (noise) to an eventdriven message
  - E.g. talking in a party (with loud background music)
  - For event-driven messages, its SNR (signal-to-noise ratio) decides BER (bit error rate) and PER (packet error rate) given messages size
- VSCC suggests fixed Tx rate (100ms interval) and fixed Tx power (100mW=20dBm) for all cars
  - Is this a good idea? Let's use PDE to analyze the aggregated power level from status update messages

## **Stochastic Channel Model**

Given a pair of sender-receiver, if the sender transmits with power  $\tau$ , given the distance d (separation of sender-receiver), the received power  $\omega$  can be calculated by:

$$\omega(\tau, d) = \phi \times \frac{\tau}{d^{\gamma}} \times z_1 \times z_2 \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi$  is a constant,  $\gamma \geq 2$  represents path loss exponent of the DSRC channel,  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  represent shadowing and multipath effect respectively.

- Path loss exponent (energy dissipation)
  - Usually 2~3 for outdoor environment
- Shadowing effect (large scale fading)
  - Usually modeled as log-normal distribution
- Multi-path effect (small scale fading)
  - Usually modeled as Rayleigh, Rician, or Nakagami-k distribution
- Each sender-receiver pair can be calculated independently
  - Aggregated power is the superposition of all transmissions

## Vehicular Density of Simulated 4-lane Straight Highway

- Microscopic traffic simulator (PATH SHIFT)
  - http://path.berkeley.edu/smart-ahs/index.html
- Density is calculated by moving average (10m window) over a 200m segment of highway
  - An empty highway is gradually populated by vehicles



# Aggregated Power Level (dBm) in DSRC for Simulated Highway

- Shown plot is just one sample path (realization)
  - Note that, dBm is a log-scale measure of power (mW)
- Aggregated power level has correlation with vehicular traffic since each vehicle uses uniform Tx rate/power



# PDE Model of Aggregated Power Level with Uniform Rate/Power

With Greenshield's flux function, LWR PDE (15) can be written as,

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x,t)}{\partial t} + v_f \left(1 - \frac{2\rho(x,t)}{\rho^*}\right) \frac{\partial \rho(x,t)}{\partial x} = 0$$
(17)

where  $\rho^*$  is the jam density and  $v_f$  is the free-flow velocity.

For notational convenience in following derivation, let  $\nu(x, y, t) = \frac{\rho(x+y,t)+\rho(x-y,t)}{y^{\gamma}}$  and  $\overline{c}(x, t) = \frac{E[c(x,t)]}{\Phi\lambda\tau}$ , then (8) can be rewritten as

$$\overline{c}(x,t) = \int_0^\infty \nu(x,y,t) dy.$$
 (20)

By taking partial derivative of  $\nu(x, y, t)$  w.r.t. x and t,

$$\frac{\partial\nu(x,y,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{y^{\gamma}} \left(\frac{\partial\rho(x+y,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\rho(x-y,t)}{\partial t}\right)$$
(21)

and

$$\frac{\partial\nu(x,y,t)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{y^{\gamma}} \left(\frac{\partial\rho(x+y,t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\rho(x-y,t)}{\partial x}\right).$$
(22)

Combining (21) and (22), we get a version for  $\nu(x, y, t)$  similar to (17),

$$\frac{\partial\nu(x,y,t)}{\partial t} + v_f \left(1 - \frac{2\rho(x,t)}{\rho^*}\right) \frac{\partial\nu(x,y,t)}{\partial x} = 0$$
(23)

where  $\rho^*$  is the jam density and  $v_f$  is the free-flow velocity as in (10). Now take partial derivative of  $\overline{c}(x, t)$  w.r.t. t and x,

$$\frac{\partial \overline{c}(x,t)}{\partial t} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial \nu(x,y,t)}{\partial t} dy \tag{24}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \overline{c}(x,t)}{\partial x} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial \nu(x,y,t)}{\partial x} dy.$$
 (25)

Therefore, from integrating the form of (23), we get

$$\frac{\partial \overline{c}(x,t)}{\partial t} + v_f \left(1 - \frac{2\rho(x,t)}{\rho^*}\right) \frac{\partial \overline{c}(x,t)}{\partial x} = 0.$$
 (26)

Let 
$$\mu(x, y, t) = \frac{\rho(x+y,t)^2 + \rho(x-y,t)^2}{y^{2\gamma}}$$
 and  $\widetilde{c}(x,t) = \frac{V[c(x,t)]}{\Psi\lambda^2\tau^2}$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{c}(x,t)}{\partial t} + v_f \left(1 - \frac{2\rho(x,t)}{\rho^*}\right) \frac{\partial \widetilde{c}(x,t)}{\partial x} = 0.$$
 (27)

#### Solution to PDE Using Characteristics

Based on the technique of characteristics, we get

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v_f (1 - \frac{2\rho(x,t)}{\rho^*}),$$
 (29)

and thus the mean and variance of aggregated power,

$$E[c(x,t)] = E[c(x - v_f(1 - \frac{2\rho(x,t)}{\rho^*})(t - t_0), t_0)], \quad (30)$$

and

$$V[c(x,t)] = V[c(x - v_f(1 - \frac{2\rho(x,t)}{\rho^*})(t - t_0), t_0)].$$
 (31)

In fact, the same can be shown for all cumulants of c(x,t)(mean and variance are the first two cumulants). Therefore, the distribution of c(x,t),  $f(c(x,t)) \in [0,1]$ , can be expressed similarly,

$$f(c(x,t)) = {}^{d} f(c(x - v_f(1 - \frac{2\rho(x,t)}{\rho^*})(t - t_0), t_0)).$$
(32)



Just an illustration from pp.63 of class note 12

Mean of Aggregated Power Level (dBm)



#### Standard Deviation of Aggregated Power Level (dBm)





#### Do You See the Characteristics of Vehicular Density?

Vehicular density (vehicle/meter)



This plot is from microscopic traffic simulator (PATH SHIFT)

#### **Characteristics of Mean Aggregated Power**

Mean Aggregated Power in DSRC (dBm)



#### Characteristics of Std. Dev. of Aggregated Power

Standard Deviation of Aggregated Power in DSRC (dEn)



# Summary

#### Introduce VANET and safety enhancement

- Rate/power control problem of status update messages in DSRC
- Model aggregated power level in DSRC using PDE
  - Show characteristics in vehicular density and (mean, variance) of aggregated power in DSRC
  - Show ideas to control aggregated power efficiently
- Work to be done before end of semester:
  - Simulate SNR, BER, PER of event-driven messages
  - Finalize the report

## Thanks for your patience! Q&A

**CE291 Spring '09 Class Project** 

#### Ching-Ling Huang